AMENDMENT

IN THE CLAIMS

Please amend the claims as indicated in Appendix A submitted herewith according to the revision to 37 C.F.R. § 1.121 concerning a manner for making amendments to the claims.

REMARKS

Claims 6-7 and 12-19 are presently pending in the captioned application with claims 6 and 14-15 being amended and new claim 20 being added.

The Examiner allowed claims 12-19. That indication is acknowledged with appreciation by the Applicants. Remaining independent claim 6 and dependent claim 7 are rejected solely under \$ 112, \$ 2 as being indefinite. Accordingly, Applicants have amended claims 6 and 7 to overcome the indefiniteness rejection and now submit that claims 6 and 7 are in condition for allowance.

In particular, independent claim 6 has been amended to delete a phrase and amended to recite the phrase "comprising" as suggested by the Examiner.

Although allowed, claims 14 and 15 have been amended to remove the phrase, "of an unsaturated carboxylic acid group" from line 2 of each claim, respectively. Support for the amendments can be found in the specification at page 10, lines 10-16. Applicants note that claims 14 and 15 depend from already allowed independent claim 12. Therefore, it is noted that the patentability of claims 14 and 15 is maintained.

New claim 20 recites an embodiment in which a carboxylic acid group of the resin is ionized with zinc as disclosed on page 21, line 33, to page 22, line 6 (Example 9) and at Table 4 of the specification. Again, Applicants note that new claim 20 depends from already allowed independent claim 12. Therefore, claim 20 is patentable.

No new matter within the meaning of § 132 has been added by any of the amendments.

Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request the Examiner to enter the amendments, reconsider and withdraw the remaining rejection under § 112, \P 2, and allow all claims pending in this application.

1. Rejection of Claims 6-7 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, ¶ 2

The Office Action rejects claims 6-7 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, \P 2 as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and

distinctly claim the subject matter of the invention. The Office Action states:

Claim 6 is vague and indefinite because it is what the phrase unclear ethylene/unsaturated carboxylic acid/(meth)acrylic acid ester copolymer", in lines 13-15 relates to. It is unclear whether the phrase is merely a typographical error or whether Applicant is attempting to claim a third type of extrusion-lamination resin under subcategory (1) which is not limited in regard its unsaturated carboxylic acid (meth)acrylic acid ester contents.

In claim 6, the phrase "extrusion-lamination resin:" is unclear and confusing. The Examiner suggests that the colon be replaced by clearer language such as "composed of" or "comprising" or "comprises".

Applicants respectfully traverse the rejections. However, Applicants have amended the claims in accordance with the Examiner's suggestion to advance prosecution of the application.

In particular, Applicants have deleted the phrase "or an ethylene/unsaturated carboxylic acid/(meth)acrylic acid ester copolymer" from claim 6.

Additionally, Applicants have followed the Examiner's suggestion by replacing the colon after the term "extrusion-lamination resin" with the term "comprising".

Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that the claims 6 and 7 now particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter of the invention and therefore respectfully request

reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection.

CONCLUSION

In light of the foregoing, Applicants submit that the application is now in condition for allowance. The Examiner is therefore respectfully requested to reconsider and withdraw the rejection and allow all pending claims. Favorable action with an early allowance of the claims pending is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

SHERMAN AND SHALLOWAY

SHERMAN AND SHALLOWAY

413 N. Washington Street Alexandria, Virginia 22314 703-549-2282

Attorney for Applicants

Roger C. Hahn Reg. No. 46,376